

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

SHEM WALKER,	:	
	:	
Petitioner,	:	Civ. No. 16-3752 (JMV)
	:	
v.	:	OPINION
	:	
PATRICK NOGAN, et al,	:	
	:	
Respondents.	:	
	:	

APPEARANCES:

Shem Walker, # 546572 / 900508-C
East Jersey State Prison
Lock Bag R
Rahway, NJ 07065

Petitioner Pro se

VAZQUEZ, District Judge

Petitioner Shem Walker, a prisoner confined at the East Jersey State Prison in Rahway, New Jersey, files this writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254, challenging his 2005 New Jersey state court conviction.

The filing fee for a petition for writ of habeas corpus is \$5.00. Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 54.3(a), the filing fee is required to be paid at the time the petition is presented for filing. Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 81.2(b), whenever a prisoner submits a petition for writ of habeas and seeks to proceed *in forma pauperis*, that petitioner must submit (a) an affidavit setting forth information which establishes that the petitioner is unable to pay the fees and costs of the proceedings, and (b) a certification signed by an authorized officer of the institution certifying (1) the amount presently on deposit in the prisoner's prison account and, (2) the greatest amount

on deposit in the prisoners institutional account during the six-month period prior to the date of the certification. If the institutional account of the petitioner exceeds \$200, the petitioner shall not be considered eligible to proceed *in forma pauperis*. L. CIV. R. 81.2(c).

Petitioner did not prepay the \$5.00 filing fee for a habeas petition as required by Local Civil Rule 54.3(a), nor did Petitioner submit an application for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Although Petitioner submitted a letter dated July 7, 2016 (ECF No. 2) indicating that he paid the \$5.00 filing fee, same has not been received by the Court and entered on the docket.

Accordingly, this matter will be administratively terminated for failure to satisfy the filing fee requirement. Petitioner will be granted leave to apply to reopen by either paying the filing fee or submitting a complete application for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*.

To the extent Petitioner asserts that institutional officials have refused to provide the certified account statement, any such assertion must be supported by an affidavit detailing the circumstances of Petitioner's request for a certified account statement and the institutional officials' refusal to comply, including the dates of such events and the names of the individuals involved.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, the Clerk of the Court will be ordered to administratively terminate this action without prejudice.¹ Petitioner will be granted leave to apply to re-open

¹ Such an administrative termination is not a “dismissal” for purposes of the statute of limitations, and if the case is re-opened pursuant to the terms of the accompanying Order, it is not subject to the statute of limitations time bar if it was originally submitted timely. *See Houston v. Lack*, 487 U.S. 266 (1988) (prisoner mailbox rule); *Papotto v. Hartford Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 731 F.3d 265, 275-76 (3d Cir. 2013) (collecting cases and explaining that a District Court retains jurisdiction over, and can re-open, administratively closed cases).

within 45 days, by either prepaying the filing fee or submitting a complete application for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*.

An appropriate Order will be entered.

s/ John Michael Vazquez
JOHN MICHAEL VAZQUEZ
United States District Judge

Dated: July 21, 2016
At Newark, New Jersey